

# DCP 443 Working Group - Meeting 12

08 July 2025 at 13:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Alex Lam [AL]	National Grid
Charles Mott [CM]	SSE
Ed Grimsey [EG]	BU-UK
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Gen
Gordon McFadzean [GM]	TNEI
Harvey Dawson [HD]	TNEI
Joe Boyle [JB]	SPEN
Kara Burke [KB]	NPg
Michael Hewitson [MH]	Trident Utilities
Nik Wills [NW]	Stark
Rachel Wallace [RW]	BUUK
Simon Vicary [SV]	EDF
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Andy Green [AG] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hannah Proffitt [HP] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

## 1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference.

- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the previous meeting minutes and agreed them to be an accurate reflection of the discussions held.
- 1.3 An action log has been created, and all updates are provided in **Appendix A**.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting had changed since the agenda was drafted. The Chair advised that the key focus will be:
  - For GM and HD from TNEI to provide their analysis and feedback on the modelling,
  - To establish next steps.

## 3. Review Updated Test Model Outcomes

---

- 3.1 The Chair presented the modelling document and advised that the Working Group had agreed an approach but had concerns around cost reflectivity. The Chair advised that the Working Group wanted to know if there is a more cost reflective way of applying excess capacity charges to customers than the approach they have agreed.
- 3.2 HD and GM from TNEI presented a set of slides outlining their analysis.
- 3.3 The Chair highlighted that in a previous meeting ED had identified a scenario which showed that the solution, whilst raising the 'Exceeded Capacity' charge, could reduce fixed charges to an extent that the total of the two charges for the site would decrease. ED confirmed that this was using a previous version of the modelling and this had been addressed.
- 3.4 ED questioned whether the issue of under recovery already exists under the current arrangements. GM noted that they highlight the under recovery issue because this is about sharpening the exceeded capacity signal to drive a change in behaviour. GM suggested that if the signal was stronger it would heighten the issue of an under recovery.
- 3.5 ED questioned how cost reflective a charge can be for an unexpected demand for network use. ED questioned whether, given exceeding capacity should not happen in the first place, it is possible to project network demand and the cost associated.
- 3.6 KB noted that they had a differential previously and it did not cause problems with under recovery. KB noted that it depends on what forecast they put in for the amount of excess capacity they are going to have. KB noted that the red and green tariffs are trying to drive changing behaviour as well and there are no concerns around under recovery caused if people do respond and move out of the red period.
- 3.7 The Chair added that a question relating to this could be included in the consultation.
- 3.8 GM asked the Working Group in what way exceeding capacity increases their costs and how this can then be reflected within the CDCMs approach. The Chair advised that when this was discussed previously, members agreed that these impacts are difficult to quantify.

- 3.9 Members agreed, noting that this was asked in the Request For Information (RFI) and all responses indicated that they could not quantify the impacts but provided examples.
- 3.10 The Chair suggested that as PW (Proposer), CB and CO are not in attendance they should wait until the next meeting to discuss the legal text. The group agreed. HD and GM agreed they would join if needed.
- 3.11 The Chair agreed to send a doodle poll out shortly with dates for the next meeting.

## 4. Review New Draft Consultation

---

- 4.1 The Chair advised that this would be discussed at a future meeting.

## 5. Next Steps and Work Plan

---

- 5.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:
- The Chair to issue a doodle poll with dates for a next meeting for members to consider the approaches and discuss legal text.

## 6. Any Other Business

---

- 6.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss, to which nothing was raised.

## Attachments

---

- N/A

## APPENDIX A

---

### New and Open Actions

---

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
11/01	The Secretariat to draft a new Consultation and send to the Working Group for review.	Secretariat	<b>Action ongoing.</b> <i>This will be completed at a later stage.</i>
11/02	The Secretariat to seek legal advice (specifically around the new Paragraph 81) on the new draft legal text.	Secretariat	<b>Action ongoing.</b> <i>This will be completed at a later stage.</i>

### Closed Actions

---

Action Ref.			Update
10/01	CB and ED to bilaterally discuss a query in relation to the revised model and changes that may affect fixed charges offline, and feedback to the Working Group.	CB/ED	<b>Action closed.</b> <i>Taken as far as possible at this time.</i>
11/03	The Secretariat to circulate the updated draft legal text document to the Working Group for review post-meeting.	Secretariat	<b>Action closed.</b> <i>Action complete.</i>

